Optimization Policy Enhancement (New Constraint Editor) Tim Magee, Patrick Lynn, Kenny Gruchalla **CADSWES**

Outline

- Overview of Existing Controllers
- Vision: Integrated Rules and Optimization Policy
- Existing optimization software
- Immediate Plans for Optimization
- Optimization Policy Demonstration

RiverWare Controllers

- Simulation
- Rule-Based Simulation
- Optimization
 - Preemptive Linear Goal Programming
 - Variables
 - Linear constraints
 - Multiple linear objectives / soft constraints

Goal Programming

- Prioritized sequence of objectives and soft constraints
 - Highest priority: Move towards normal region
 - Flood control, minimum flows, etc
 - Lowest priority: In the normal region
 - E.g. Optimizing hydropower
- "Freeze" each objective.
- Use remaining solution space for other objectives.

Multipurpose Reservoir Management

- Common purposes and issues:
 - Water supply, power, flood management, environment, recreation, navigation, etc.
- Differences for Different Basins
 - Hydrology
 - Emphasis
 - History: institutions, laws, flexibility

Common Policy Needs from Common Purposes

- Get the most out of the system.
 Both present and expected future benefits.
- Agreed upon method to balance purposes and locations.
 - Most systems: Prioritized policies that gradually reduce the solution space.
- Evolves over time
 - Flexible policy modeling.

Policies: Rules and Optimization

- Some policies have more of an optimization nature
 - Simultaneously balance the incremental benefits across time and/or locations.
- Some policies have more of a rule-oriented nature
 - Time step progression.
 - If condition A currently exists, Then take action
 B.

Optimization: Pros and Cons

- + Makes system and time tradeoffs easily.
- + Uses flexibility well.
- + Simultaneous solution of equations.
- Outcomes are less transparent.
- Some if-then logic is difficult.
- Some nonlinearities are difficult.
- Limited set of decision variables.

Rules: Pros and Cons

- + Fits well with a legal environment.
- + Everyone knows what the rules are.
- + Handles nonlinearities well.
- Difficult to make informed tradeoffs.
- Might be very complex to handle all of the possibilities well.
- Usually some residual institutional flexibility.

Long Term RiverWare Vision: Rules and Optimization

- Fits the broader policy need.
- Remove the existing need to choose.
 - Best of both tools.
 - Start with one and add the other.
- Shared interface.
- Shared underlying software.
- Short Term: share interface components, but separate controllers.

Optimization and Rules: mixing a little of each into the other

- Optimization with a little Rules
 - If-then logic for which constraints and objectives to solve and what to do with the results.
- Rules with a little Optimization
 - Rules functions that contain an optimization problem.
 - e.g. Optimizing over future time steps to set values in the current time step.

Rules followed by Optimization

- Rule results would be "inputs" for optimization.
- Optimize over all time periods.
- Effectively: make optimization the lowest priority rule.

Optimization followed by Rules

- Currently, we post-process optimization with simulation.
- Could post-process with rules, allowing rules to overwrite the optimization results.
- Optimization is still the lowest priority rule.

Mixed Optimization and Rules.

- Add time step control to the policy.
- Optimize in one case, fire a rule in another.
- A rule with higher priority can overwrite optimization and/or provide inputs.
- Optimization may "refire" as necessary.
- A rule with lower priority would be overwritten by a "successful" optimization.

Optimization and Rules Summary

- Optimization with If-then
- Rules with Optimization Functions
- Sequential Optimization and Rules
- Mixed Optimization and Rules

Existing Generation of Optimization

Policy Constraints and Objectives

Translated to Successive

Objectives for LP

Build Model (topology, data,

me<mark>thods)</mark>

Objects Generate Linearized Physical Process Constraints (mass balance, hydrogeneration, canal flow, etc.) and Other Data Confronts (initial conditions, hydro inflows, limits of model applicability)

Optimization Input Matrix Send to CPLEX Solver

Retrieve Results to RiverWare Objects Set up Post-Optimization Simulation Run

Existing Optimization Policy Editor

e		Constraint Editor		F
<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> ie	W			
▶ Priority 33	i 🤗 MaxMin		Havasu Q Min	-
▶ Priority 34	🖌 🎸 MaxMin		Flaming Gorge + Font Cred Cap	
▽ Priority 35	i 🤣 MaxMin		individual cred limits	
BMcrd	V	∀[t IN "Time" , ("BlueMesa.Creditable Capacity" [@ t] <= (748500 "acre-ft"))]	Blue Mesa Creditable Limit	
FGcrd	1	\forall [t IN "Time" , ("FlamingGorge.Creditable Capacity" [@ t] <= (1507200 "acre-ft"))]	Flaming Gorge Creditable Limit	
Foncrd	V	∀[t IN "Time" , ("Fontenelle.Creditable Capacity" [@ t] <= (1507200 "acre-ft"))]	Fontenelle Creditable Limit	
Navord	V	∀[t IN "Time" , ("Navajo.Creditable Capacity" [@ t] <= (1036100 "acre-ft"))]	Navajo Creditable Limit	
Powcrd	1	∀[t IN "Time" , ("Powell.Creditable Capacity" [@ t] <= (3850000 "acre-ft"))]	Powell Creditable Limit	
▶ Priority 36	🖌 🖌 MaxMin		Build Space	
▷ Priority 37	🔗 MaxMin		Mead Flow 19000 cfs Jan Jul98	
▶ Priority 38	i 🤣 MaxMin		Mead Worst Case 19 Future Out98	
▶ Priority 40	🖌 🎸 MaxMin		Mead Flow 0 cfs Jan Jul98	
▶ Priority 41	🤣 MaxMin		Havasu Flow[11]	
▶ Priority 43	i 🤗 MaxMin		Havasu Flow[13]	
▶ Priority 46	🖌 🎸 MaxMin		Mead Flow 19000 cfs Jan Jul99	
♦ Priority 50	🖌 🎸 MaxMin		Havasu Outflow set	
♦ Priority 53	Summation		Powell	
Priority 54	🖌 🎸 Objective Max	∑[LBR IN "LBRes" , ∑[t IN "Time" , ("@LBR.Energy" [@ t] * "Mead Opt Data.PowerPriceSeries" [@ t])]]	Max Power	

Problems in the Existing Editor

- Create and Delete, not really "Edit"
- Easy to create meaningless expressions
- Unforgiving of mistakes
- Can't see/change variables or physical constraints
- Requires enhancement of the underlying representation

Other Optimization Difficulties

- Users have little control over the decision variables used and the defining constraints.
- Users cannot control which parts of an optimal solution are used.
- "If-then" and "For" are very limited
- Not connected to rules
- Brittle code Very hard to expand

Immediate Plans

- Extend rules editor to optimization
- Reproduce existing optimization capability
- If-then logic
- For loops
- Visible For-all Object list with grey-out
- Reusable Templates
 - e.g. daily average flow
- Byproduct: Enhancements for Rules

"Physical" constraints become "Defining" constraints

- Generated only as needed to define variables that are used in policy statements.
- Visible to the end user.
- Additional variables and defining constraints may be added by the end user.

Returning Values to Workspace

- Discontinue "Opt In" and "Opt Out" columns.
- Values returned by optimization are flagged.
- Returned values are not input for a subsequent optimization run.
- Future: policy control of which values optimization returns and dispatching triggered by returned values.

Express a Wider Range of Policies

- If-then
- For
- Variables and Constraints driven by policy.
- Workspace connection

Wider Optimization Possibilities

- Prioritize constraints by a larger time step e.g. water year
- Different Hydrologic Scenarios
- Water Rights
- Alternative Economics e.g. agricultural
- Integer Programming
- Quadratic Programming
- Successive Linear Programming

Optimization Statements

- Objectives
 - Minimize or Maximize an expression
- Soft Constraint Set
 - Minimize deviations
- Freeze
 - Lock in the optimal value before continuing
- Hard Constraints
- Control
 - If-Then, For

Soft Constraints

- Attach deviation/satisfaction variables to each constraint
- Objective Minimize deviations
 - <u>Summation</u>: minimize the sum of deviations
 - <u>Minimax</u>: minimize the maximum deviation
 - <u>Repeated Minimax</u>: "freeze" the maximum deviation and reoptimize over the remaining constraints.
 - Others are possible.