

Raw Water System Operations Modeling for NTMWD

Andres Salazar, Ph.D., P.E. Jon Albright





Presentation Outline

- NTMWD system
- Supplies and facilities
- Complexities of operations
- Riverware Model
- Scenarios
- Results









Future Supply & Demand





Complexities of Operations

- Pumping must balance conflicting factors
 - Too much
 - Losses due to increased evaporation/spills
 - Increased costs
 - Degrade water quality (Lake Texoma)
 - Deplete distant sources
 - Too little
 - Possibility of violating water right
 - Lower Lake Lavon levels





Complexities of Operations

- Overdraft in lieu of pumping adds some flexibility, but should be used wisely
- Timing when pumping is possible has uncertainty





Complexities of Operations

- Sources have different water quality
- Lavon accounting plan is complex
- Chapman water is not reliable



Riverware Model



Freese and Nichols



Rule basedAccountingWater Quality





Water Quality Modeling

- Internal Riverware WQ routines replaces previous spreadsheet models
- Multiple bugs were found
 - Inline simulation not working
 - Slot not available
 - Others
- CADSWES staff was responsive to fix problems





Operation Policies Analyzed

- 1. Maximum pumping
- 2. Reduced pumping
- 3. Maximum pumping early in the year, decreasing at the end



Reduced Pumping

Trigger Level



Freese and Nichols

Lake Lavon Storage



Difference = 17,072 acre-feet (or 1.41' feet)

Fregge and Nichols



Energy Cost - 2013





Conclusions

- Riverware model replaces older, difficult to maintain NTMWD models
- Model will support planning and operations
- Riverware water quality routines were updated





Raw Water System Operations Modeling for NTMWD

Andres Salazar, Ph.D., P.E. Jon Albright

